UNITED STAT DISTRI	TES DISTRICT COURT FOR TH CT OF MASSACHUSETTS	E IN CLERKS OFFICE 2003 DEC 15 P 12: 48
RAYMOND A. VEZINA, Plaintiff)))	S. DISTRICT COURT STRICT OF MASS
vs.)	or MASS!
DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY and THE SECRETARY OF THE ARMY Defendants	03-40	280-
	COMPLAINT	
	Parties	
1) The Plaintiff, RAYMOND A. VEZ	ZINA, is retired as Adjutant General	of the Army and Air
	He is a resident of North Grafton, V	
Massachusetts and a citizen of the		• /
2) The Defendant, THE DEPARTME United States of America.	ENT OF THE ARMY is a duly const	ituted agency of the
3) The Defendant, THE SECRETARY	Y OF THE ARMY, is the agency he	ad of the Department of
the Army and acts on behalf of the	Department of the Army.	RECEIPT #404239
		AMOUNT \$ 150
		SUMMONS ISSUED V
	Jurisdiction	LOCAL RULE 4.1 V
	our isalettall	WAIVER FURIN

Plaintiff is a citizen of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts. Defendant is a agency of the United States, and the amount in controversy exceeds the sum of \$50,000.00, exclusive of interest and costs.

This court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1332

4)

Facts

- On or about November 19, 1993 Raymond A. Vezina was appointed Adjutant General of the Massachusetts National Guard with the statutory grade of Major General by then Governor William F. Weld of Massachusetts.
- 7) On or about October 5, 1994 Congress Passed Reserve Officer Personnel Management Act (ROPMA) effective October 1, 1996 codified in 10 U.S.C. 14311.
- 8) On or about December of 1994, Under Secretary of Defense Lister issued a policy letter directing that General Officers appointed under the provisions of ROPMA and awaiting confirmation would be treated as though they had been appointed under the provisions of ROPMA.
- On or about December of 1993 Raymond A. Vezina's name was placed on the Senate Confirmation list for promotion as a reserve General Officer of the United States Army and as General Officer of the Army National Guard of the United States.
- Subsequent to the placing of Raymond A. Vezina's name on the Senate Confirmation list an allegation was made to the Department of the Army Office of the Inspector General that Raymond A. Vezina had engaged in various acts of wrongdoing.
- On or about June, 1995, as a result of said allegations, Raymond A. Vezina's name was removed from the Senate Confirmation list pending the outcome of the Department of the Army Inspector General's investigation. No written notification of the removal and no written explanation detailing the allegations causing the removal from the Senate Confirmation list has been given to the Plaintiff.
- 12) Upon learning of the removal of his name from the Senate Confirmation list by telephone on or about June, 1995, Raymond A. Vezina requested that his name be reinstated to the list. Despite the requirement in ROPMA that a candidates name be reinstated to the Senate Confirmation list with 18 months of removal, unless there is Court action, the Plaintiff's name has not been reinstated from that time to the filing of this action.

- On July 23, 1999 Raymond A. Vezina applied to the Army Board for Correction of Military Records in an effort to have his name restored to the Senate Confirmation list.
- 14) The Army Board for Corrections of Military Records has failed to act pursuant to Raymond A. Vezina's application.
- Raymond A. Vezina has exhausted all administrative remedies available to him to have his name returned to the Senate Confirmation list for promotion as a Reserve General Officer of the United States Army and as a General Officer of the Army National Guard of the United States.
- Over 7 years have elapsed since Raymond A. Vezina's name was removed from the Senate Confirmation list for promotion as a reserve General Officer of the United States Army and as a General Officer of the Army National Guard of the United States.

COUNT I VIOLATION OF THE PROVISIONS OF ROPMA

- 17) The Plaintiff incorporates and realleges the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 16.
- By failing to restore Raymond A. Vezina's name to the Senate Confirmation list after a period of 18 months had elapsed from the time of removal in the absence of criminal charges being preferred or civilian criminal complaint being issued, the Department of the Army violated the provisions of ROPMA which statute confers a direct benefit on Raymond A. Vezina.

COUNT II VIOLATION OF AN AGENCY OR DPARTMENT DIRECTIVE

- 19) The Plaintiff incorporates and realleges the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 18.
- 20) By failing to restore Raymond A. Vezina's name to the Senate Confirmation list after a period of 18 months had elapsed from the time of removal in the absence of criminal charges being preferred or civilian criminal complaint being issued, the Department of the Army violated the directive issued by the Undersecretary of Defense directing the Department of the Army to treat

those officers appointed under the provisions of ROPMA as if they had been appointed under the provisions of ROPMA, which directive confers a direct benefit upon Raymond A. Vezina.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, Raymond A. Vezina, requests that this Court issue an order instructing the Secretary of the Army to restore his name to the Senate General Officer Confirmation list, and that if promoted that Raymond A. Vezina receive retroactive pay from the date when the Plaintiff, pursuant to ROPMA, should have been promoted, and for the costs of the suit; and for such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper in the premises.

Raymond A. Vezina Plaintiff, By his Attorney,

Neil J Berman
Berman & Shapiro
109 College Avenue

Somerville, MA 02144

(617) 628-1563 BBO #556-784

Date: 12-12-03

Document 1

Filed 53/15/2013 Page 5 of

●JS 44 (Rev. 3/99)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

· .		A 1. 3	475%	A Selection
-	700			
TELES!	4	i (a)	118	4

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDA	NTS	IN CLER	LED		
Vezina, Ra		Department of the Army OFFICE						
(b) County of Residence (E	of First Listed Plaintiff _ XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTI	Worcester Worcester FFCASES)	The Secretary of the Army County of Residence of First Listed (IN U.S./P.S.A.INTER-CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES (USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.					
(c) Attorney's (Firm Na	me, Address, and Telepho	ne Number)		Attorneys (If K		, 0	r Mass.	
Neil J. Be 109 Colleg Somerville	rman (6 e Avenue MA 0214	17)628-1563		•	,			
	Criace an A	in One Box Only)	(For Div	ZENSHIP OF Porces of the Cases On by (Cases On by)	PRINCIPA	AL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for De fendant)	
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Questio (U.S. Govern	n ment Not a Party)			DEF	Incorporated or of Business	DEF r Principal Place	
x5x2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citi in Item III)	zenship of Parties	Citizen	of Another State] 2 🗆 2	Incorporated an of Business I	nd Principal Place □ 5 □ 5 In Another State	
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place a n "X" in	One Boy Only)		or Subject of a C gn Country	3 🗆 3	Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6	
CONTRACT		ORTS	FORFE	ITURE/PENALTY	RAN	KRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of 150 Recovery of Defauked Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Force losure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property		PRISONER PETITIO 510 Motions to Va cate Sentence Habeas Corpus: 530 General 535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & Othe	620 625 630 640 650 650 650 650 660 670	LABOR Fair Labor Sundards Act Act Abor/Mgmt. Relations Abor/Mgmt.Reporting & Disclosure Act tailway Labor Act Other Labor Litigation impl. Ret. Inc.	423 With 28 US PROPE 820 Copy 830 Paten 840 Trade 861 H IA (862 Black 863 DIW (864 SSIS) 865 RSI (FEDERA 870 Taxes or Def	RTY RIGHTS Trights It emark L SECURITY (13 95ff) L Lung (923) C/DIW W (405 (g)) Tile XVI 405(g)) L TAX SUITS (U.S. Plaintiff enda nt)	400 State Reap portionment 410 Antifust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce*ICC Reliable. 460 Deportation 470 Racke teer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 891 Agricultural Act 893 Environmental Matters 894 Energy Alboation Act 895 Freedom of Information Act 900 Appeal of Fee Determent Daul Access to Justice 950 Constitutionality of State Statues	
V. ORIGIN (PLAC	E AN "X" IN ONE BO	☐ 550 Civil Rights ☐ 555 Prison Condition X ONLY)	s	ecurity Act	871 IRS — 26 US	C 7609	890 Other Statutory Actions	
√ 1 Original □ 2 Re	ate Court	Remanded from DAppellate Court	Reopeneo	d or 5 (specify	erred from r district y)	□ 6 Multidistri Litigation	Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment	
	Do not cite jurisd iction	nal statutes unless diversity.)						
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	LJ CHECK IF THIS UNDER F.R.C.I	IS A CLASS ACTION			СН	ECK YES only i	f demanded in complaint:	
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDG E				NUMBER	12 Yes □ No	
12-12-2003 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	Nail J.	SIGNATURE OF ATTO	RNEY OF REC	CORD				
RECEIPT#A	MOUN	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUDG)E	

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

		y in whic	h the case belo 160, 410, 470	ngs based u	pon the n			,		the civil		4	Na		
2.	40.1(a)(1	l)). L.,,	160, 410, 470				of suit	code l	isted on	the civil	cover el	4	 		
	<u>×</u>			, R.23, REG/	ARDLESS		th the case belongs based upon the numbered nature of suit code listed on the civil cover sheet. (See local rule								
	<u>*</u>	11.	195, 368, 400			OF NATURE OF	SUIT.								
	_	II. 195, 368, 400, 440, 441-444, 540, 550, 555, 625, 710, 720, 730, 740, 790, 791, 820*, 830*, 840*, 850, 890, 892-894, 895, 950.							*Also complete AO 120 or AO 121 for patent, trademark or copyright cases						
		III.	110, 120, 130 315, 320, 330 380, 385, 450	, 340, 345, 3	90, 210, 2: 50, 355, 30	30, 240, 245, 290 60, 362, 365, 370	, 310, , 371,								
	_	IV.	220, 422, 423 690, 810, 861	, 430, 460, 5 [,] -865, 870, 87	10, 530, 6 [,] 1, 875, 90	10, 620, 630, 640 10.	, 650, 66	60,							
	_	v .	150, 152, 153	•											
3.	aistrict p	number please ind	, if any, of relate dicate the title a	ed cases. (S nd number o	ee local roof the firs	ule 40.1(g)). If m t filed case in th	ore than is court.	n one	prior rel	ated cas	e has be	en filed	in this		
4.	Has a pr	ior action	between the s	ame parties	and base	d on the same c	laim eve	r hoo	a filed in	this cou	+2				
	·				uu 2000	a on the same c		ES		NO	12				
5.	Does the §2403)	e complai	int in this case (question the	constitut	ionality of an ac	t of cong	gress	affecting	g the pub	olic intere	est? (S	See 28 USC		
	If so, is t	he U.S.A.	. or an officer, a	gent or emp	loyee of t	he U.S. a party?	Y	ES		NO	M				
							Y	ES		NO					
6.	ls this ca	ise requi	red to be heard	and determi	ined by a	district court of		dges p ES	oursuant	to title 2	8 USC §:	2284?			
7.	Do <u>all</u> of	the partie	es in this action	n, excluding	governm	ental agencies o	f the un	ited si	tates and	d the Cor	nmonwe	alth of			
	massacn	usetts ("	governmental a	igencies"), i	residing i	n Massachusetts		in the ES	same di	ivision? - NO	(See Lo	cal Rul	e 40.1(d)).		
		1.	If yes, in which div	vision do all of th	non-gover	nmental parties resid				NO	—				
			Eastern Division		ic non-gover	Central Division	e, ⊠		Wester	n Division					
		2.	If no, in which divi	sion do the majo	ority of the pl	aintiffs or the only pa	rties, exclu	idina aa			residing in	Maccach	aucette recide?		
					,			ung go			residing in	IVIASSACI	usells reside?		
	If Elina a Ala	**	Eastern Division			Central Division	ш			n Division	Ц				
1 .8	ir niing a No motions)	tice of Rem	oval - are there any	motions pendin	g in the state	court requiring the a	ttention of	this Co	urt? (If yes	s, submit a :	separate sh	eet identi	fying the		
(PLE	ASE TYPE	OR PRINT\					YE	s []	NO					
	ORNEY'S NA	AME_	NEIL J	BER	w.Mal										
	RESS		109 60	1408	Aven	NUE 4 021									
TELE	PHONE NO)	SOMBRYI	'UT	W	4 171	44								

(Coversheetlocal[1].wpd - 10/17/02)